PENSION LAWYERS ASSOCIATION

FEEDBACK ON SURPLUS ISSUES

Christiaan Ahlers
Financial Services Board
18 August 2005



SURPLUS TEAM

CHIEF ACTUARY
Mike Codron

Christiaan Ahlers

Natie van Jaarsveld

Jurgens Steyn

Aaron Nzotho

Judy de Beer

Sias Esterhuizen



TIMELINE

- 7 December 2001 surplus legislation promulgated
- 7 December 2004 all funds have
 passed their surplus apportionment dates
- 7 June 2006 all funds must have
 submitted their schemes
- Newly registered funds have different timeline



SURPLUS PROCESS

- Application arrives & fees paid
- Application and valuation report assessed at the same time
- All schemes assessed by Processor & Checker
- Sign-off by Chief Actuary
- 60-day turnaround
- If rejected, appeals may be lodged within 20 business days of Registrar's decision at the FSB Appeal Board

WHY ARE THERE DELAYS?

- Fees not paid refer to Schedule L
- Incomplete applications
- Statutory actuarial valuation report not in line with PF 117
- Statutory actuarial valuation report not submitted
- Improper uses
- Missing signatures



WHY DO WE RAISE QUERIES?

- Not to question anyone's integrity
- Not to delay process unnecessarily
- To ensure that Registrar applies his mind
- To ensure that sufficient information is available to make an informed decision
- To comply with the Act



WHAT HAS FSB DONE TO ENCOURAGE SUBMISSIONS?

- PF Circulars PF 121 & PF 122
- Chief Actuary met with 15 largest administrators
- Chief Actuary wrote to all administrators
- Chief Actuary will do a follow-up within the next few months
- Eventually a cut-off point further action necessary: 15K tribunal



HOW LONG DOES IT TAKE?

	Apportionment	Nil Schemes
Processing	6 hours	1 hour
Checking	2 hours	½ hour
Total	8 hours	1½ hours



15B STATISTICS

	"Nil" Returns			
	Single		Bulk	
Approved	427	39%	1 483	60%
Rejected	6	0%	0	0%
Pending	356	33%	2	0%
Not completed	300	28%	993	40%
Total	1 089	100%	2 476	100%



15B STATISTICS

	Apportionment Schemes	
Approved	17	29%
Rejected	_	0%
Pending	36	62%
Not completed	5	9%
Total	58	100%



AMOUNTS

	15B Apportionment	
	(R'million)	
Approved	1 691	
Pending	1 132	
Not completed	438	
Total	3 261	



15F STATISTICS

	Applications	
Approved	17	30%
Rejected	37	65%
Pending	2	3%
Not completed	1	2%
Total	57	100%



WHY DO 15F APPLICATIONS FAIL?

- Registrar applies principles of PF 105
- Two main issues:
 - Proper negotiation and communication with all stakeholders
 - Full disclosure to all stakeholders of the amounts involved
- Registrar can only assess what has been submitted



AD-HOC TRIBUNAL (15K)

- One scheme referred
- Registrar approves panels of Actuaries and Lawyers
- Tribunal takes over total scheme
- Registrar abides by their decision



EXTENSION REQUESTS

- Valuation report 12 months
- SAS 18 months
- Apply for extension
- Reasonable until the end of December
 2005
- Lenient to a point, thereafter: Action



EXTENSION REQUESTS

- Address requests to Natie van Jaarsveld
- State valuation date / SAD
- Motivate the request
- Specify date until which extension required
- Request should be reasonable



PF 121

- Guidance for surplus applications
- Use prescribed formats & standard forms
- A marked improvement in the quality of applications
- Fewer queries raised since the circular was issued



BUDGET PROPOSALS

- Surplus apportionment budget needs to be reasonable
- Approval on basis that budget reviewed before payments and payments adjusted accordingly



UNACCEPTABLE PRACTICE

- Excessive reserves
- Excessive costs
- Providing for future litigation
- Costs of paying out members: cost of payment > value of benefit



WHAT WE DO & DON'T DO

- Registrar must apply the provisions of the Act
- Registrar must act independently and objectively
- Registrar does consult on surplus issues –
 refer to Schedule L for fees
- Registrar does not give advice please do not ask for advice
- Registrar does not give legal opinions please get your own
- Registrar does not peer review



PENSION FUNDS ADJUDICATOR

- Recent determinations regarding RAs
- Registrar has applied for leave to intervene in all matters going to court for review
- Registrar will place views before court
- No further comment



WAY FORWARD

- Surplus a once-off exercise
- Proper databases and better regulation
- Compliance team
- Principles of retirement reform –
 towards a new retirement funds act



THANKYOU

QUESTIONS?

